CIRCUIT COURT 140 ADAMS AVE. ROOM 224 MEMPHIS, TN 38103

(CHANGERY / CIRCUIT) COURT OF TENNESSEE FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

CHANCERY COURT 140 ADAMS AVE. ROOM 308 MEMPHIS, TN 38103

NOCT-003472-14	ю AD I	DAMUM \$		AUTO□	OTHER
Astron Rhode	and Magie	5Celo 3 1	exter	Stone	Duk Lea
Phodes				ome Address	
		menyo,	his //	U SBIRS	
PLAINTIFF			Вι	isiness Addre	ess .
and Dr Joyce	nonierity	2861	Brow	d Due	
and Dr Joyce	Lia			ome Address	
		many	ohis.	110 38	112
DEFENDANT			Bu	siness Addre	ess
TO THE DEFENDANT(S	3): Christ Ca	muentes	eand '	ur	
TO THE DEFENDANT (S	& Ave m.	emphis	TW	38112	
within THIRTY (30) DAYS aft fail to do so, a judgment by de KENNY ARMSTRONG,	efault may be taken agai	nst you for the rel	ief demanded	in the Complain	nt.
BY	, D. C.	/ BY_	10	"au	T.Q.C.
TESTED AND ISSUED	8-12	20 17			
NOTICE: Pursuant to Chapter 919 of Tennessee law provides a four thou judgment should be entered against items you wish to claim as exempt, necessary; however, unless it is fillet issued prior to the filing of the list. necessary wearing apparel (clothing) portraits, the family Bible, and school understand your exemption right or his	if the Public Acts of 1980 you a usand (\$4,000.00) personal p you in this action and you wish with the Clerk of Court. The dibefore the judgment become Certain items are automatical for yourself and your family of books. Should any of these	roperty exemption for to claim property as a list may be filed at nest final, it will not be filly exempt by law ar and trunks or other notems be seized, you	om execution or exempt, you must any time and ma ecome effective ad do not need to eceptacles neces a would have the	at file a written list, in ay be changed by as to any execution be listed. These sary to contain suc	under oath, of the you thereafter as n or gamishment include: items of th apparel, family
		ST BOND	O a lawyer		
I hereby acknowledge and bind n court which may at any time be a	nyself for the prosecution o	f this action and pa	ayment of all costaid plaintiff sha	sts not to exceed	\$500.00 in this
Witness My Hand this					
Certification when applicable					
I, Jimmy Moore, Clerk of the Circu Tennessee, certify this to be a tri filed this		Su	rety		
JIMMY MOORE, Clerk					

D. C.

IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DI	STRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN D	ISTRI	CT OF TENNESSEE
		CIRCUP COURT CLERK
ASHTON RHODES AND MAGGIE RH	ODES	Case No. CT-003472-14
Plaintiffs,	}	
٧,	}	Judge V
DR. JOYCE LIU AND CHRIST COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES		
)	
		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, Ashton Rhodes and Maggie Rhodes of 5663
Waterstone Oakway, Apt 201, Memphis TN, 38115, 901-830-0308, by Pro Se, and complaining of Defendants, Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health Services of 28th Broad Ave, Memphis, TN 38112 at (901) 260-8450, states the following:

introduction

 Plaintiffs bring this action to redress violations by Defendants of Plaintiffs' rights under the Constitutions and laws of the United States and State of Tennessee.

- 2. This is an action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to redress the deprivation under color of statute, regulation, custom or usage of rights, privileges and immunities secured to Plaintiffs by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States
- 3. This is an action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) to redress the Defendants' acts of conspiring for the purpose of depriving, either directly or indirectly, Plaintiffs of the equal protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities secured to the Plaintiffs by the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.
- 4. Specifically, as a result of egregious medical misconduct and procedure malpractice, Plaintiff (Ashton Rhodes) was subjected to a botched circumcision of the penile foreskin, pain and suffering with significant emotion distress.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This court has jurisdiction of the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 Section 1331.
- 6. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 (b). All parties reside in this judicial district, and the events giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred within district.

Count I - State Law Claim

Medical Malpractice

- Plaintiff realleges by this reference paragraphs 1 through 6 of this
 Complaint as if restated fully herein.
- 8. The actions of Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health
 Services were the direct and proximate cause of the pain and
 suffering of the Plaintiff's Amendment rights, physical suffering,
 mental distress, monetary damages, loss of reputation, humiliation
 and other injury, as set forth more fully above.
- 9. WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully request this Court to enter judgment for monetary damages against Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health Services, to enter a judgment for punitive damages of Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health Services, to award Plaintiff his Attorney fees and costs, and for any other such relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Count II - State Law Claim Medical Malpractice

- Plaintiff realleges by this reference paragraphs 1 through 6 of this
 Complaint as if restated fully herein.
- 11. Tennessee law provides that public entities are directed to pay any tort judgment for compensatory damages for which employees are liable within the scope of their employment activities.

12. Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health Services is or was an employee of Christ Community Health Services, who acted within the scope of her employment in committing misconduct described herein.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully request this Court to enter Judgment for monetary damages against the Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health Services in the amount of (Two Million Dollars in damages-Compensatory, Pain and Suffering, Emotional Distress and Punative) and to award Plaintiff his Attorney's fees and costs, and for any other such relief as this Court deems appropriate

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs ASHTON RHODES AND MAGGIE RHODES, hereby demands a trial by Jury pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedures 38 (b) on all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

STATE OF TENNESSEE COUNTY OF SHELBY

Before me, a Notary Public in and for said State and County, duly commissioned and qualified, personally to me known (or included to sie on the backs of satisfactory evidence) to be the person describe in and who executed the integoing instrument, and amountedged that he/she executed the same as her free act and deed for the proses therein contained.

MESS my hand and Notarial Seal at office this

day of the doll

STATE OF TENNESSEE NOTARY PUBLIC OF TENNESSEE

11 Aug 2014

MAGGIE RHODES

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 7, 2015

. C. Rhode

S001M-60072

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS

ASHTON RHODES and MAGGIE RHODES,

Plaintiffs,

CASE NO. <u>CT-003472-14</u>

VS.

DR. JOYCE LIU and CHRIST COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO TENN. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12.02

COME NOW the Defendants, Dr. Joyce Liu and Christ Community Health Services, and move the Court for an order of dismissal of the Complaint filed against them for lack of jurisdiction and venue and for failure to comply with the provisions of TCA 29-26-121 and TCA 29-26-122. In support of the Motion, the Defendants state as follows:

- 1. The Complaint itself fails to state a proper grounds for jurisdiction and venue.

 Paragraph 5 of the Complaint says that "this court has jurisdiction of the action pursuant to 28

 U.S.C. Section 1331." That is not the case when it is filed in the Circuit Court of Shelby County.
- 2. Moreover, Defendants believe themselves to be protected by the Federally Supported Health Centers Assistance Act of 1992 (FSHCAA) (Pub. L. 102-501) which should be applied to the Defendants, and consequently jurisdiction of the action is pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2401(b), and 2671-80, because they are thereby deemed to be employees of the Public Health Service.

- 3. Plaintiffs have failed to comply with TCA § 29-26-121 which requires a minimum of sixty (60) days written notice before filing a Complaint based upon healthcare liability in any Court in this State. No such notice was provided.
- 4. Furthermore, TCA § 29-26-122 requires a filing of a certificate of good faith along with the Complaint, which was not done in this case. TCA § 29-26-122(c) states that "the failure of a plaintiff to file a certificate of good faith in compliance with this section shall, upon motion, make the action subject to a dismissal with prejudice."

For the reasons stated herein, Defendants aver that the Complaint filed against them is invalid and therefore should be dismissed with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS THOMASON

Jerry E. Mitchell (#7952) Attorney for Defendants

0 South Main Street, Suite 2900

Memphis, Tennessee 38103

(901) 525-8721

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing has been mailed, postage pre-paid, on this day

Pro Se Plaintiffs

Ashton Rhodes Maggie Rhodes 5663 Waterstone Oakway, Apt. 201 Memphis, TN 38115

erry E. Mitchell

5633555

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE FOR THE 30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS

MAGGIE RHODES and ASHTON RHODES, Plaintiffs,)))
v.) CT-003472-14
DR. JOYCE LIU and CHRIST COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES, Defendants.	DIVISION 5)))

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE PLEADINGS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Ashton Rhodes and Maggie Rhodes, through Counsel, and move this Honorable Court to strike the pleadings filed on August 12, 2014 and dismiss the complaint without prejudice pursuant to Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure 17.02 and 11.01 for the following reasons:

- Maggie Rhodes is the biological mother of Ashton Rhodes, an infant, who was born on April 22, 2013, in Memphis, Tennessee.
- 2. The complaint in the instant case was filed *pro se* by Maggie Rhodes and names Maggie Rhodes and Ashton Rhodes, individually, as Plaintiffs.
- 3. As an infant, Ashton Rhodes does not have the capacity to sue in his own name. He may only sue through a representative as "next friend" or through a court-appointed guardian per Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 17.03.
- 4. Ashton Rhodes does not have a court-appointed guardian, conservator, or other person who can file suit on his behalf.

- 5. Maggie Rhodes is an adult resident of Shelby County, Tennessee, but not a licensed attorney. As such she can file suit on her own behalf, but she cannot file a complaint on behalf of her son.
- 6. Although a search of Tennessee law revealed no cases directly on point, in Shepherd v. Wellman, 313 F.3d 963 (2002), the Sixth Circuit followed the Second Circuit's holding in Cheung v. Youth Orchestra Association of Baltimore that parents cannot represent their children pro se. The Court in Cheung stated:

A non-attorney parent must be represented by counsel in bringing an action on behalf of his or her child. The choice to appear pro se is not a true choice for minors who under state law, see Fed.R.Civ.P 17(b), cannot determine their own legal actions... It goes without saying that it is not in the interests of minors or incompetents that they may be represented by non-attorneys. When they have claims that require adjudication, they are entitled to trained legal assistance so their rights may be fully protected.

Cheung v. Youth Orchestra Association of Baltimore, 906 F.2d 59, 61-62 (1990).

Judge Aleta A. Trauger for the United States District Court, Middle District of Tennessee, applied the Sixth Circuit's position in *Mitchell v. Taylor* (3:13cv-00569).

- 7. Although Ashton Rhodes is currently represented by counsel, he was not at the time of filing of the complaint. Maggie Rhodes filed the complaint without the assistance of a licensed Tennessee attorney.
- 8. The complaint was signed only by Maggie Rhodes, not Ashton Rhodes or an attorney representing Ashton Rhodes. Per Tennessee Rule of Civil Procedure 11.01, an unsigned paper shall be struck.

9. To allow a defective complaint filed *pro se* by Ashton Rhodes' mother to cut off his right to seek redress for his injuries would reject the principles of equity established by this court and produce an unconscionable result.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs Ashton Rhodes and Maggie Rhodes request that the complaint filed by Maggie Rhodes on August 12, 2014 be dismissed without prejudice.

Respectfully submitted this the 9th day of October, 2014.

KATHRYN MACERI (030797)

Attorney for Plaintiffs Maggie Rhodes and Ashton Rhodes

The Claiborne Ferguson Law Firm

294 Washington Avenue

Memphis, TN 38103

Phone: (901) 529-6400

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned attorney, do hereby certify that the foregoing document has been served upon all concerned parties in this case, all defendants, and all plaintiffs by placing a true and correct copy of same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, in a properly addressed envelope, or by hand delivering same this the 9th day of October, 2014.

Jerry E. Mitchell, Esq. Attorney for Defendants 40 South Main St. Suite 2900 Memphis, Tennessee 38103 (901) 525-8721

KATHRYN MACERI